

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/825,836	Applicant(s) HORTON, WADE C.	
	Examiner Adriana Figueroa	Art Unit 3633	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Adriana Figueroa. (3) Royal Craig.

(2) Brian Glessner. (4) Wade Horton.

Date of Interview: 02 February 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: Applicant presented different models of the accent frame.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bobrikc, Hill.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented proposed amendments for claim 1 in an attempt to overcome the outstanding prior art rejection. Examiner responded by noting that the proposed amendments do not overcome the prior art of record. Therefore, the rejection is still valid.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Adriana Figueroa/ Examiner, Art Unit 3633	
---	--